

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR GERALD ANTON OFNER	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 3043	
09/889,895		07/24/2001		046-7001.30		
466	7590	04/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
YOUNG	& THOM	PSON	FINEMAN, LEE A			
	TH 23RD ST	TREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2ND FLC			ARI ONII	FAFER NUMBER		
ARLING	TON, VA	22202	2872			
				DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	J	L
ANTON		
dress		:
0) DAYS,		
ommunication.		
•		
e merits is		
	•	
y the Examine	er.	
FR 1.121(d). FO-152.		
Stage		

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/889,895	OFNER, GERALD ANTON						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Lee Fineman	2872						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address						
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37.CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Fe</u>	ebruary 2006.	·						
	·							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	/ <del>-</del>							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-62,64 and 65</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-61</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>1,62,64,65</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a ala atian wa muinamant							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		·						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 7/24/01,4/30/03,1/7/05,2/6/06 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(a)								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:	atent Application (F 10-132)						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6 February 2006 has been entered in which claims 1 and 65 were amended. Claims 1, 6-62, 64 and 65 are pending of which claims 6-61 are withdrawn.

## **Drawings**

2. A new drawing (fig. 13) was received on 6 February 2006. This drawing is acceptable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 64 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al., US 6,134,048 in view of Austrian Patent Publication No. AT 000307 U1 (henceforth AT-307) and Kawamura, US 6,671,087.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,895

Art Unit: 2872

Kato et al. disclose a vision aid (figs. 19A and 19B) in the form of telescopic spectacles with two lens systems which each comprise at least one objective lens (39 or 40) and one eyepiece (5 or 6) having an internal beam path therebetween (figs. 19A and 19B); a focusing means (13) which changes the focal length to adjust the lens systems according to the distance of the telescopic spectacle from the object (column 10, lines 33-40), and at least one optical element (41 or 42) that is distinct from said at least one objective lens and said eyepiece (it is distinct in at least so far as it is physically separated from the other lenses) positioned and arranged to match parallaxes between the lens systems of the vision aid to the focal length which has been set according to the distance of the telescopic spectacles from an object (column 10, lines 44-50), such that in each said lens system, said at least one optical element is movable along a guide (37) or 38) so as to follow a path that crosses said internal beam path for changing an angle between external beam paths which run out of the respective lens systems toward the object; and wherein said at least one optical element is structured and arranged to match parallaxes without changing distance between respective eyepieces (figs. 19A and 19B). Kato et al. disclose the claimed invention except for path/guides being curved; a means for changing the magnification factor of the lens systems and the focusing means being an autofocusing means. Kawamura teaches a binocular system (figs. 17-19) that adjusts parallax wherein an optical element (2 or 3) is movable along a curved guide (76A or 76B) so as to follow a curved path (see column 15, line 34-column 16, line 13). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the curved guide/curved path taught by Kawamura into the system of Kato et al. to provide an alternative path which maintains image placement at various object distances while preventing fatigue and feelings of unease (Kawamura, abstract

and column 2, lines 27-45). AT-307 teaches telescopic spectacles with two lens systems (fig. 1) with a means for changing the magnification factor of the lens systems (13, 14) and an autofocusing means (4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the means for changing the magnification factor of AT-307 to the system of Kato et al. to provide the viewer with more flexibility in viewing the image with a broader range of magnifications and to make the focusing mean of Kato et al. an autofocusing means as suggested by AT-307 to provide fast, accurate focusing of the object.

5. Claim 62 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al. in view of Kawamura and AT-307 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kanda, US 4,886,340.

Kato et al. in view of Kawamura and AT-307 as applied to claim 1 above discloses the claimed invention except for wherein in each said lens system, the at least one optical element is rotatable along an axis perpendicular to said curved path so that said at least one optical element tilts when said at least one optical element is moved along said curved path. Kanda teaches a vision aid (fig. 5) in the form of telescopic spectacles with two lens systems with an optical element (5) that is movable along a curved path (from connector 13) and wherein said optical element is rotatable along an axis perpendicular to said curved path so that said at least one optical element tilts when said at least one optical element is moved along said curved path (column 7, lines 23-31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the optical element of Kato et al. in view of Kawamura and AT-

Art Unit: 2872

307 rotatable along an axis perpendicular to said curved path for tilting as suggested by Kanda to provide additional degrees of freedom in designing/aligning the lens system.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 62, 64 and 65 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee Fineman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2313. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 6, 2006

MARKA. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER